



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,876	09/28/2001	Eduardo Perez	M-12263 US	4257

7590 01/11/2005

Philip W. Woo
c/o SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP
555 CALIFORNIA STREET
SUITE 5000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715

EXAMINER

LE, VU

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/966,876

Applicant(s)

PEREZ, EDUARDO

Examiner

Vu Le

Art Unit

2613

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boyce, US 6,012,091.

Re claim 1, Boyce discloses a method for creating streaming video data (fig. 3, col. 9, line 46 – col. 11, line 9), the method comprising:

compressing video data to a first intermediate data file using a first transform (120; Boyce discloses the incoming bitstream inputted to bitstream storage 120 is a compressed bitstream, thus compressing to yield a first intermediate data file is inherent);

compressing the first intermediate data file to a second intermediate data file using a second transform (330);

compressing the second intermediate data file to a streaming video data file using a third transform (150).

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Seo, US 6,798,980.

Re claim 1, Seo discloses a method for creating streaming video data (figs. 1-3, Summary of the Invention), the method comprising:

compressing video data to a first intermediate data file using a first transform (col. 3, lines 33-39; Seo discloses MPEG-2 format file as a first intermediate data file. MPEG-2 serves as a first transform as claimed for compressing raw uncompressed video input);

compressing the first intermediate data file to a second intermediate data file using a second transform (col. 3, lines 33-39; Seo discloses converting MPEG-2 format file into MPEG-1 format file. MPEG-1 serves as a second transform for converting MPEG-2 format file into MPEG-1 format file as claimed);

compressing the second intermediate data file to a streaming video data file using a third transform (30, col. 3, lines 1-11, the AV decoder serves to convert MPEG-1 format data into AV format data which is streamed to the user's set top box STB).

Re claim 2, the method according to claim 1, wherein the first intermediate data file comprises an MPEG-2 data file. (See col. 3, lines 33-39).

Re claim 4, the method according to claim 1, wherein the video data further comprises NTSC format video data. (See col. 1, line 22).

Re claim 6, the method according to claim 1, further comprising de-interlacing the first intermediate data file using the first transform. (Seo discloses converting MPEG-2 data format into MPEG-1 data format. MPEG-2 data format may be interlaced or

progressive. MPEG-1 data format is progressive only. Thus, in Seo, an interlaced MPEG-2 data format inherently would require de-interlacing to convert it to MPEG-1 data format).

Re claim 7, the method according to claim 1, wherein the first intermediate data file is encoded at rate of 5 Mbps or more. (See col. 1, lines 27-28).

Re claim 8, the method according to claim 1, wherein the streaming data file is encoded at a rate of 1.5 Mbps or less. (It is noted that MPEG-1 inherently produces data format with a rate up to 1.5 Mbps. Thus, the output bitstream of the MPEG-1 coder to be converted by the AV decoder 30 into AV streaming data file for reproduction inherently would fall within the range of 1.5 Mbps or less).

Re claim 9, the method according to claim 1, further comprising transmitting the streaming data file over a network. (See col. 3, lines 4-5, i.e. broadcast network).

Re claim 10, the method according to claim 1, wherein the first intermediate data is encoded at about 30 frames per second and wherein the compressing the first intermediate data file to a second intermediate data file using a second transform further includes encoding the second intermediate data file at about 30 frames per second or less. (In Seo, MPEG-2 represents first intermediate data format and MPEG-1 represents second intermediate data format as claimed. It is noted that both MPEG-2 and MPEG-1 inherently reproduce video data at a rate of about 30 frames per second).

Re claim 11, the method according to claim 1, wherein the compressing the first intermediate data file to a second intermediate data file using a second transform is performed in transparent mode. (Applicant described a "transparent mode" as a mode

Art Unit: 2613

of compressing video data file into compressed video data file such that, when played, the human eye is incapable of distinguishing between the video data and the compressed video data file – see para 0023 of spec. In Seo, that would mean the MPEG-1 compressed data file when decoded at the AV decoder 30 at a rate of 30 frames per second would achieve the “transparent mode” as claimed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 5, 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seo, US 6,798,980.

Re claim 3, the method according to claim 1, wherein the second intermediate data file comprises an unconstrained MPEG-1 data file. Seo discloses the second intermediate data file as MPEG-1 data file (see col. 3, lines 33-39), but not “unconstrained MPEG-1 data file as claimed. However, Official Notice is taken to note that unconstrained MPEG-1 data file implies MPEG-1 compressed bitstream with a variable data rate (VBR) that has no set maximum and minimum. This is notoriously well known in the art and would have been obvious to implement in Seo for the benefit of higher quality reproduced images. The reason unconstrained MPEG-1 VBR is not utilized often is because of greater bandwidth requirement.

Art Unit: 2613

Re claim 5, the method according to claim 1, wherein the first intermediate data file further comprises an MPEG-2 data file and the second intermediate data file further comprises an unconstrained MPEG-1 data file, the compressing the first intermediate data file to a second intermediate data file further comprising converting the MPEG-2 data file to the unconstrained MPEG-1 data file.

(Claim 5 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1-3 above).

Re claim 12, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1-3 above.

Re claim 13, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1-3 and 11 above.

Re claim 14, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1 & 7 above.

Re claim 15, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1 & 4 above.

Re claim 16, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1 & 6 above.

Re claim 17, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1 & 11 above.

Re claim 18, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1 & 10 above.

Re claim 19, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1-3 above.

Re claim 20, the claim has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims 1-3 above.

The system and method in Seo (figs. 1-3) is a computer-implemented system/method.

Art Unit: 2613


Hence, a program with instructions to execute the steps of creating streaming video data would have been implied and necessitated.

Contact

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu Le whose telephone number is 703-308-6613. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Vu Le
Primary Examiner
AU 2613
(703) 308-6613
Vu.Le@uspto.gov